arXiv:1408.0408v1 [math.CO] 2 Aug 2014

Enomoto and Ota's conjecture holds for large graphs

Vincent Coll*, Alexander Halperin†, Colton Magnant‡, Pouria Salehi-Nowbandegani§

August 5, 2014

Abstract

In 2000, Enomoto and Ota conjectured that if a graph G satisfies $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + k - 1$, then for any set of k vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ and for any positive integers $n_1, \ldots, n_k$ with $\sum n_i = |G|$, there exists a partition of $V(G)$ into k paths $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ such that v_i is an end of P_i and $|P_i| = n_i$ for all i. We prove this conjecture when $|G|$ is large. Our proof uses the Regularity Lemma along with several extremal lemmas, concluding with an absorbing argument to retrieve misbehaving vertices.

1 Introduction

For all basic definitions and notation, see [1]. Let $\sigma_2(G)$ denote the minimum degree sum of a graph G. In 2000, Enomoto and Ota conjectured the following and proved several cases.

Conjecture 1 (Enomoto and Ota [4]). *Given an integer $k \geq 3$, let G be a graph of order n and let $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k$ be a set of k positive integers with $\sum n_i = n$. If $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + k - 1$, then for any k distinct vertices $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k$ in G, there exists a set of vertex-disjoint paths $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_k$ such that $|P_i| = n_i$ and P_i starts at x_i for all i with $1 \leq i \leq k$.*

A partial solution was provided by Magnant and Martin in the sense that the path lengths could only be prescribed within a small fraction of n.

Theorem 1 (Magnant and Martin [7]). *Given an integer $k \geq 3$, for every set of k positive real numbers $\eta_1, \ldots \eta_k$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta_i = 1$, and for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists n_0 such that for every graph G of order $n \geq n_0$ with $\sigma_2(G) \geq n+k-1$ and for every choice of k vertices $S = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\} \subseteq V(G)$, there exists a set of vertex disjoint paths $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ which span $V(G)$ with P_i beginning at the vertex x_i and $(\eta_i - \epsilon)n < |P_i| < (\eta_i + \epsilon)n$. Also the condition on $\sigma_2(G)$ is sharp.*

When n is sufficiently large relative to k, we prove that Conjecture 1 holds.

Theorem 2. *Given an integer $k \geq 3$, let G be a graph of sufficiently large order n and let $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k$ be a set of k positive integers with $\sum n_i = n$. If $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + k - 1$, then for any k distinct vertices $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k$ in G, there exists a set of vertex disjoint paths $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_k$ such that $|P_i| = n_i$ and P_i starts at x_i for all i with $1 \leq i \leq k$.*

Our proof utilizes several extremal lemmas based on the structure of the reduced graph provided by the Regularity Lemma. Our lemmas deal with the cases where the minimum degree is small, the reduced graph has a large independent set and the connectivity of the reduced graph is small.

2 Preliminaries

Given two sets of vertices A and B, let $E(A, B)$ denote set of edges with one end in A and one end in B and let $e(A, B) = |E(A, B)|$. Define the *density between A and B* to be

$$d(A, B) = \frac{e(A, B)}{|A||B|}.$$

*Department of Mathematics, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, PA, USA. vec208@lehigh.edu

†Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Salisbury University, Salisbury, MD, USA. adhalperin@salisbury.edu

‡Department of Mathematical Sciences, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, GA, USA. cmagnant@georgiasouthern.edu

§Department of Mathematical Sciences, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, GA, USA. pouria_salehi-nowbandegani@georgiasouthern.edu

Definition 1. *Let $\epsilon > 0$. Given a graph G and two nonempty disjoint vertex sets $A, B \subset V$, we say that the pair (A, B) is* ϵ-regular *if for every $X \subset A$ and $Y \subset B$ satisfying*

$$|X| > \epsilon|A| \quad \text{and} \quad |Y| > \epsilon|B|$$

we have

$$|d(X, Y) - d(A, B)| < \epsilon.$$

We will also use the following one-sided but stronger version of regularity.

Definition 2. *Let $\epsilon, d > 0$. Given a graph G and two nonempty disjoint vertex sets $A, B \subset V$, we say that the pair (A, B) is* (ϵ, d)-super-regular *if for every $X \subset A$ and $Y \subset B$ satisfying*

$$|X| > \epsilon|A| \quad \text{and} \quad |Y| > \epsilon|B|,$$

we have

$$e(X, Y) > d|X||Y|,$$

and furthermore $d_B(a) > d|B|$ for all $a \in A$ and $d_A(b) > d|A|$ for all $b \in B$.

The following is the famous Regularity Lemma of Szemerédi.

Lemma 1 (Regularity Lemma - Szemerédi [11])**.** *For every $\epsilon > 0$ and every positive integer m, there is an $M = M(\epsilon)$ such that if G is any graph and $d \in (0, 1)$ is any real number, then there is a partition of $V(G)$ into $r + 1$ clusters $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_r$, and there is a subgraph $G' \subseteq G$ with the following properties:*

(1) $m \leq r \leq M$,

(2) $|V_0| \leq \epsilon|V(G)|$,

(3) $|V_1| = \cdots = |V_r| = L \leq \epsilon|V(G)|$,

(4) $\deg_{G'}(v) > \deg_G(v) - (d + \epsilon)|V(G)|$ *for all* $v \in V(G)$,

(5) $e(G'[V_i]) = 0$ *for all* $i \geq 1$,

(6) for all $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ *the graph* $G'[V_i, V_j]$ *is* ϵ*-regular and has density either* 0 *or greater than* d.

Given a graph G and appropriate choices of ϵ and d, let G' be a spanning subgraph of G obtained from Lemma 1. The *reduced graph* $R = R(G, \epsilon, d)$ of G contains a vertex v_i for each cluster V_i in $G' \setminus V_0$ and has an edge between v_i and v_j if and only if $d(V_i, V_j) > d$. Hence, $V(R) = \{v_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq r\}$ and $E(R) = \{v_i v_j \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq r,\ d(V_i, V_j) > d\}$.

Throughout this work, we let $r = |R|$.

This next lemma allows the creation of a super-regular pair from an ϵ-regular pair by simply removing some vertices.

Lemma 2 (Diestel [3], Lemma 7.5.1)**.** *Let (A, B) be an ϵ-regular pair of density d and let $Y \subseteq B$ have size $|Y| \geq \epsilon|B|$. Then all but at most $\epsilon|A|$ of the vertices in A each have at least $(d - \epsilon)|Y|$ neighbors in Y.*

We use a simple corollary of this result.

Lemma 3. *Let (A, B) be an ϵ-regular pair of density d. There exist subsets $A' \subseteq A$ and $B' \subseteq B$ with $|A'| \geq (1-\epsilon)|A|$ and $|B| \geq (1 - \epsilon)|B|$ such that the pair (A', B') is $(\epsilon, d - 2\epsilon)$-super-regular.*

Our next lemma follows trivially from the definition of super-regular pairs.

Lemma 4. *Given an (ϵ, d)-super-regular pair (A, B) and a pair of vertices $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, there exists a path of length at most 3 from a to b in (A, B).*

The following lemma provides very strong structure in super-regular pairs.

Lemma 5 (Blow-Up Lemma - Komlós, Sárközy and Szemerédi [5])**.** *Given a graph R of order r and positive parameters d, Δ, there exists a positive $\epsilon = \epsilon(d, \Delta, r)$ such that the following holds. Let $\{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r\}$ be an arbitrary set of positive integers and replace the set of vertices $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r\}$ of R with pairwise disjoint sets $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_{r-1}$ and V_r of sizes $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{r-1}$ and n_r respectively (blowing up). We construct two graphs on the same vertex-set $V = \cup V_i$. The first graph $\mathbf{R}$ is obtained by replacing each edge v_i, v_j of R with the complete bipartite graph between the corresponding vertex-sets V_i and V_j. A sparser graph G is constructed by replacing each edge v_i, v_j arbitrarily with an (ϵ, d)-super-regular pair between V_i and V_j. If a graph H with $\Delta(H) \leq \Delta$ is embeddable into $\mathbf{R}$ then it is also embeddable into G.*

The following theorem gives us a degree sum condition on R based on our assumed degree sum condition on G.

Theorem 3 (Kühn, Osthus and Treglown [6]). *Given a constant c, if $\sigma_2(G) \geq cn$, then $\sigma_2(R) \geq (c - 2d - 4\epsilon)|R|$.*

We also use the following theorem of Ore.

Theorem 4 (Ore [10]). *If G is 2-connected, then G contains a cycle of length at least $\sigma_2(G)$.*

We use the following result of Williamson. Recall that a graph is called *panconnected* if, between every pair of vertices, there is a path of every possible length from 2 up to $n - 1$.

Theorem 5. *Let G be a graph of order n. If $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n+2}{2}$, then G is panconnected.*

3 Proof Outline

Given an integer $k \geq 3$ and desired path orders $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k$, we choose constants ϵ and d as follows:

$$0 < \epsilon \ll d \ll \frac{1}{k},$$

where $a \ll b$ is used to indicate that a is chosen to be sufficiently small relative to b. Let n be sufficiently large to apply Lemma 1 with constant ϵ to get large clusters and let R be the corresponding reduced graph. Note that, when applying Lemma 1, there are at least $\frac{1-\epsilon}{\epsilon}$ clusters so $|R| \geq \frac{1-\epsilon}{\epsilon}$.

We use a sequence of lemmas to eliminate extremal cases of the proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \cdots \leq n_k$. Our first lemma establishes the case when $\delta(G)$ is small.

Lemma 6. *Conjecture 1 holds when $\delta(G) \leq \frac{n_k}{8}$.*

Lemma 6 is proven in Section 5. By Lemma 6, we may assume $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n_k}{8} \geq \frac{n}{8k}$. Our next lemma establishes the case when $\kappa(R) \leq \epsilon|R|$, where R is the reduced graph of G after applying Lemma 1.

Lemma 7. *Given a positive integer k, let $\epsilon = \epsilon_k, d = d_k > 0$, and let G be a graph of order $n \geq n(\epsilon, d, k)$ with $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + k - 1$ and $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n_k}{8}$. If $\kappa(R) \leq 1$, then the conclusion of Conjecture 1 holds.*

Lemma 7 is proven in Section 7. Our final lemma establishes the case where G contains a large independent set.

Lemma 8. *Given a positive integer k, let $\epsilon = \epsilon_k > 0$ be small, and let G be a graph of order $n \geq n(\epsilon)$. If $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + k - 1$ and $\alpha(G) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon\right) n$, then G satisfies Conjecture 1.*

Lemma 8 is proven in Section 6.

With all these lemmas in place, we use Ore's Theorem (Theorem 4) to construct a long cycle in the reduced graph of G. Alternating edges of this cycle are then made into super-regular pairs of G. This structure is then used to construct the desired paths. The complete proof of our main result, assuming the above lemmas, is presented in the following section.

4 Proof of Theorem 2

By Lemma 7, we may assume R is 2-connected. By Theorem 3, we know that $\sigma_2(R) \geq (1 - 2d - 4\epsilon)|R|$. Thus, we may apply Theorem 4 to obtain a cycle C of length at least $(1 - 2d - 4\epsilon)|R|$ in R. Define a "garbage set" to include V_0 and those clusters not used in C.

Color the edges of C with red and blue such that no two red edges are adjacent and as few blue edges as possible are adjacent. Note that if C is even, then the colors will alternate, and if C is odd, then there will be only one consecutive pair of blue edges while all others are alternating. Apply Lemma 3 on the pairs of clusters in G corresponding to the red edges of R to obtain super-regular pairs where the two sets of each super-regular pair have the same order. All vertices discarded in this process are added to the garbage set and define the clusters C_i to be the original clusters without the removed vertices. Note that we have added a total of at most ϵn vertices to the garbage set.

If C is odd, then let c_0 be the vertex in R with two blue edges, let C_0 be the corresponding cluster in G, and let C_0^+ and C_0^- be the neighboring clusters in G. Since the pairs (C_0^-, C_0) and (C_0, C_0^+) are both large and ϵ-regular, there exists a set of at least k vertices $T_0 \subseteq C_0$ with a matching to each of C_0^- and C_0^+. We will use these vertices as transportation and move all of $C_0 \setminus T_0$ to the garbage set.

Let G_C denote the graph on the set of vertices remaining in clusters associated with C that have not been moved to the garbage set, and let D denote the garbage set. Then $V(G) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{|} C|C_i \cup C_0 \cup D$ (if C_0 exists), with $|D| \leq (2d + 7\epsilon)n$.

By Lemma 6, we may assume $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n_k}{8}$. In particular, the vertices in D each have at least $\frac{n_k}{8} - (|D| - 1) \gg \epsilon n$ edges to G_C.

A path is said to *balance* the super-regular pairs in G_C if for every super-regular pair the path visits, it uses an equal number of vertices from each set in the pair. Note that the removal of a balancing path preserves the fact that if a pair of clusters is super-regular, then the two clusters have the same order. Let (A, B) be a super-regular pair of clusters in G_C. A balancing path starting in A and ending in B which contains a vertex $v \in D$ is called *v-absorbing*.

Claim 1. *Avoiding any selected set of at most ϵr clusters and any set of at most $\frac{16(2d+7\epsilon)n}{\epsilon r}$ vertices in each of the remaining clusters, there exists a v-absorbing path of order at most 17. Otherwise the desired path partition already exists.*

Proof. Absorbing paths are constructed iteratively, one for each vertex of D, in an arbitrary order. Suppose some number of such absorbing paths have been created. If we have created one for each vertex of D within the restrictions of the claim, the proof is complete so suppose we have constructed at most $|D| - 1$ absorbing paths. Vertices that have already been used and clusters that have lost at least $\frac{16(2d+7\epsilon)n}{\epsilon r}$ vertices removed from consideration in following iterations.

Recall that L is the order of each non-garbage cluster of G in Lemma 1.

Fact 1. *If we have created at most $|D| - 1$ such paths, at most ϵr clusters would have order at most $L - \frac{16(2d+7\epsilon)n}{\epsilon r}$.*

Proof. Since each absorbing path constructed in this claim has order at most 16 (other than the vertex v), we lose at most 16 vertices from G_C for each vertex of D. The result follows. □

Let $v \in D$ such that there is no absorbing path for v of order at most 16. Since $d(v) \geq \frac{n_k}{8}$, v must have edges to at least $\frac{r}{8k}$ clusters. Let A and B be two clusters which are not already ignored to which v has at least two edges to vertices that are not already in a path or an absorbing path. For convenience, we call two clusters X and Y a *couple* or *spouses* if X and Y are consecutive on C and the pair (X, Y) is super-regular.

The following facts are easily proven using the structure we have provided and the lemmas proven before.

Fact 2. *A and B are not a couple.*

Otherwise it would be trivial to produce a v-absorbing path.

Let A' and B' denote the spouses of A and B, respectively, let $a', b' \in R$ correspond to A' and B', respectively, and define the following sets of clusters:

- $X_A := \{\text{all couples } (P, Q) \text{ of clusters such that } pa' \text{ and } qa' \text{ are edges in } R\}$,
- $X_B := \{\text{all couples of clusters such that both clusters have an edge to } B' \text{ in } R\}$, and
- $X_{AB} := \{\text{all couples of clusters such that one spouse has an edge to both } A' \text{ and } B' \text{ in } R\}$. In particular, let X'_{AB} denote the clusters in X_{AB} that are not the neighbors of A' and B'.

Since we are considering two neighbors of v in A (and two neighbors of v in B), say v_1 and v_2, if X_A (or similarly X_B) contains even a single couple (Q, R), then we can absorb v using a path of the form $v_1vv_2 - A' - Q - R - A'$. Thus, we may actually assume $X_A = X_B = \emptyset$.

Our next fact follows from the fact that $\sigma_2(R) \geq (1 - 2d - 4\epsilon)|R|$.

Fact 3. *There are at most $(2d - 4\epsilon)|R|$ clusters in C which are not in X_{AB}.*

If there is an edge xy between two (non-used) vertices in clusters in X'_{AB}, then there is a v-absorbing path of the form $v_1vv_2 - A' - (X_{AB} \setminus X'_{AB}) - xy - (X_{AB} \setminus X'_{AB}) - A'$. Thus, the graph induced on the vertices in clusters in X'_{AB} contains no edges. By Lemma 8, we have the desired set of paths. This completes the proof of Claim 1. □

For each chosen vertex x_i, if $x_i \notin G_C$, use Menger's Theorem [9] to construct a shortest path to a vertex, say x'_i, in G_C. Using an edge of a super-regular pair first, construct a balancing path from x'_i through every cluster of G_C. Note that, since the pairs are either ϵ-regular or (ϵ, d)-super-regular, using Lemma 4, this path can be constructed to use at most 2 vertices from each cluster.

First suppose the path starting at x_i already has order at least $n_i - 16$. In this case, we add at most 16 vertices using a super-regular pair (and Lemma 4) or discard any excess vertices to obtain the desired path. If a coupled pair of clusters in G_C is left unbalanced by this process, we simply remove a vertex from the larger cluster to D. Note that repeating this for each short path adds at most $k-1$ vertices to D.

By Claim 1, since $|D| \leq (2d+7\epsilon)n$, we can construct an absorbing path for each vertex $v \in D$ where these paths are all disjoint. Let P^v be an absorbing path for v with ends of P^v in clusters C_i and C_{i+1}. Suppose uw is the edge of P_k from C_i to C_{i+1}. Then using Lemma 4, we can replace the edge uw with the path P^v with the addition of at most 4 extra vertices at either end. Note that absorbing a vertex $v \in D$ into a path P_i using the absorbing path will always correct the parity of the length of P_i.

For each path P_i that is not already completed and not the correct parity, absorb a single vertex from D into P_i. This will correct the parity of the path.

Recall the assumption (without loss of generality) that $n_1 \leq \cdots \leq n_k$. By the same process, all remaining vertices of D can be absorbed into P_k. This makes $|P_k|$ larger but since $|D| \leq (2d+7\epsilon)n$ and each absorbing path P^v for $v \in D$ has order at most 17, we get $|P_k| \leq 3|C| + 17(2d+7\epsilon)n < n_k$.

The following lemma, stated in [8], is an easy exercise using the definitions of (ϵ, d)-super-regular pairs.

Lemma 9 (Magnant and Salehi [8])**.** *Let U and V be two clusters forming a balanced (ϵ, d)-super-regular pair with $|U| = |V| = L$. Then for every pair of vertices $u \in U$ and $v \in V$, there exist paths of all odd lengths ℓ between u and v satisfying*

(a) $3 \leq \ell \leq dL$ *and*

(b) $(1-d)L \leq \ell \leq L$.

For each i with n_i small, absorb a few pairs of vertices from each super-regular pair, using Lemma 9, until P_i has the desired order. For each remaining index i, using Lemma 9 absorb entire super-regular pairs at a time (along with possibly a few vertices from other super-regular pairs) until each path P_i has the desired order to complete the proof.

5 Proof of Lemma 6

Recall that Lemma 6 claims Conjecture 1 holds when $\delta(G) \leq \frac{n_k}{8}$.

Proof. Let $a \in V(G)$ with $|N(a)| = \delta(G) \leq \frac{n_k}{8}$, and partition $V(G)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} B &= G \setminus (a \cup N(a)) \\ A &= \left\{ v \in a \cup N(a) : |N(v) \cap V(B)| < \frac{1}{8}(n+k-\delta(G)-1) \right\} \\ C &= \left\{ v \in a \cup N(a) : |N(v) \cap V(B)| \geq \frac{1}{8}(n+k-\delta(G)-1) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Note that, since $\sigma_2(G) \geq n+k-1$, the set A induces a complete graph. Furthermore, the set B has order $n-1-\delta(G)$, and A is nonempty since $a \in A$. Since $\sigma_2(G) \geq n+k-1$ and a has no edges to B, each vertex in B has degree at least $n+k-1-\delta(G)$ which means $\delta(G[B]) \geq n+k-1-2\delta(G)$. Note that also G is at least $(k+1)$-connected. First, a claim about subsets of B.

Claim 2. *Every subset of B of order at least $\frac{3n_k}{8}$ is panconnected.*

Proof. With $|B| = n - \delta(G) - 1$ and $\delta(G[B]) \geq n+k-1-2\delta(G)$, we see that $\delta(G[B]) \geq |B| - \delta(G) \geq |B| - \frac{n_k}{8}$. Therefore, for any subset $B' \subseteq B$ with $|B'| \geq \frac{3n_k}{8}$, we have $\delta(G[B']) \geq |B'| - \frac{n_k}{8} > \frac{|B'|+2}{2}$. By Theorem 5, we see that B' is panconnected. □

Consider k selected vertices $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\} \subseteq V(G)$. Let X_A denote the (possibly empty) set $X \cap A$ and let X'_A denote $X_A \cup v$ where $v \in A \setminus X_A$ if such a vertex v exists. If no such vertex v exists, then let $X'_A = X_A$. The vertices of X'_A will serve as start vertices for paths that will be used to cover all of A. By Menger's Theorem, since $\kappa(G) \geq k+1$, there exists a set of disjoint paths $\mathscr{P}_A$ starting at the vertices of X'_A and ending in B and avoiding all other vertices of X. Choose $\mathscr{P}_A$ so that each path is as short as possible, contains only one vertex in B and, by construction, has order at most 4. If any of the paths in $\mathscr{P}_A$ begins at a selected vertex x_i and has order at least n_i, we call this desired path completed and remove the first n_i vertices of the path from the graph and continue the

construction process. If $A \setminus V(\mathscr{P}_A) \neq \emptyset$, let P_v be a path using all remaining vertices and ending at v. This path P_v together with the path of $\mathscr{P}_A$ corresponding to v provides a single path that cleans up the remaining vertices of A and ends in B. The ending vertices of these paths, the vertices of B, will serve as proxy vertices for the start vertices (v or $x_i \in X \cap A$). Thus far, we have constructed paths that cover all of A, start at vertices of $X \cap A$ (when such vertices exist) and end in B.

As vertices of B are selected and used on various paths, we continuously call the set of vertices in B that have not already been prescribed or otherwise mentioned the *remaining* vertices in B. For example, so far, we have $B \setminus (X \cup V(\mathscr{P}_A))$ are the remaining vertices of B. Our goal is to maintain at least $\frac{3n_k}{8} + 1$ remaining vertices to be able to apply Claim 2 as needed within these remaining vertices.

Since $|C| \leq \delta(G) \leq \frac{n_k}{8}$ and $d_B(u) \geq \frac{1}{8}(n+k-\delta(G)-1)$ for all $u \in C$, there exists a set of two distinct neighbors in $B \setminus (X \cup V(\mathscr{P}_A))$ for each vertex in C. For each vertex $x_i \in X \cap C$, select one such vertex to serve as a proxy for x_i and leave the other aforementioned neighbor in the remaining vertices of B. By Claim 2, there exists a path through the remaining vertices of B with at most one intermediate vertex from one neighbor of a vertex of C to a neighbor of another vertex of C. Since $|C| \leq \frac{n_k}{8}$, such paths can be built and strung together into a single path P_C starting and ending in B, containing all vertices of $C \setminus X$ with $|P_C| < 4|C| \leq \frac{n_k}{2}$.

We may now construct what is left of the desired paths within B. The paths $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_{k-1}$ can be constructed in any order starting at corresponding proxy vertices and ending at arbitrary remaining vertices of B using Claim 2 in the remaining vertices of B. Finally, there are at least

$$\begin{aligned} |B| - \left|B \cap \left(\cup_{i=1}^{k-1} V(P_i)\right)\right| - |B \cap V(\mathscr{P}_A)| - |B \cap V(P_C)| &\geq (n-1-\delta(G)) - (k+1) - (3|C|) \\ &> \frac{3n_k}{8} + 1 \end{aligned}$$

remaining vertices in B. With these and Claim 2, we construct a path with at most one internal vertex from an end of P_C to the proxy of v (if such a vertex exists) and a path containing all remaining vertices of B from x_k (or its proxy) to the other end of P_C. This completes the construction of the desired paths and thereby completes the proof of Lemma 6. □

6 Proof of Lemma 8

Recall that Lemma 8 says for a positive integer k, a small $\epsilon = \epsilon_k > 0$, and a graph G of order $n \geq n(\epsilon)$, if $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + k - 1$ and $\alpha(G) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon\right) n$, then G satisfies Conjecture 1.

Proof. Let A be a maximum independent set of G, and let $B = V(G) \setminus A$. By the assumption on $\sigma_2(G)$, we have $|B| \geq \frac{1}{2}(n+k-1)$. This implies

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon\right) n \leq |A| \leq \frac{1}{2}(n-k+1)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}(n+k-1) \leq |B| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon\right) n. \tag{1}$$

Let $t = |B| - |A| + k$; therefore, we have

$$2k - 1 \leq t \leq 2\epsilon n + k.$$

Let C denote the set of vertices in B with fewer than $\frac{t-1}{2}$ neighbors in B. Since $\frac{1}{2}(n+k-1) - |A| \leq \frac{|B|-|A|+k-1}{2} = \frac{t-1}{2}$, we see C is necessarily a clique on at most $\frac{t-1}{2}$ vertices.

Claim 3. *Let B' be the set of vertices in B with at least $\frac{|A|-1}{|B|} \cdot \frac{1}{2}(n+k-1) > \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{100k}\right) n$ neighbors in A. Then $|B'| \geq \frac{1}{2}(n+k-1)$.*

Proof. Each vertex in A (except possibly one) has at least $\frac{1}{2}(n+k-1)$ neighbors in B, which means there are at least $(|A|-1) \cdot \frac{1}{2}(n+k-1)$ edges between A and B. By the Pigeonhole Principle, we have our result. □

A bipartite graph $U \cup V$ is *bipanconnected* if for every pair of vertices $x, y \in U \cup V$, there exist (x,y)-paths of all possible lengths at least 2 of appropriate parity in $U \cup V$. That is, for every pair of vertices $x \in U$ and $y \in V$, there exist (x,y)-paths of every possible odd length except 1, and for every pair of vertices $x, y \in U$ (and V), there exist (x,y)-paths of every even length. Note that we must exclude the value 1 from our definition in order to allow graphs $U \cup V$ that are not complete bipartite. Also observe that the partite sets of a bipanconnected graph must have order within one of each other.

Lemma 10 (Coll, Halperin and Magnant [2])**.** *If $G[U \cup V]$ is a balanced bipartite graph of order $2m$ with $\delta(G[U \cup V]) \geq \frac{3m}{4}$, then $G[U \cup V]$ is bipanconnected.*

Our next claim shows that any reasonably large subsets of B' induce bipanconnected subgraphs when paired with any corresponding subset of A.

Claim 4. *For all $m \geq \frac{n}{25k}$, the m-subsets $U \subseteq A$ and $V \subseteq B'$ induce a bipanconnected subgraph of order $2m$.*

Proof. For $m \geq \frac{n}{25k}$, let U and V be m-subsets of A and B', respectively. Each vertex in U has at least $|V| - \epsilon n + \frac{k-1}{2} > \frac{3m}{4}$ neighbors in V. Each vertex in B' has greater than $|U| - \left(|A| - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{100k}\right) n\right) > \frac{3m}{4}$ neighbors in U. It follows from Lemma 10 that $G[U \cup V]$ is bipanconnected. □

Let $D = B \setminus B'$, and let D' be the set of vertices in B with fewer than $\frac{n}{100}$ neighbors in A. Note that every vertex in D' has well over $\frac{n}{3}$ neighbors in B'.

Claim 5. *The sets D and D' satisfy $D' \subseteq D$ and*

$$|D'| \leq |D| \leq \frac{t - 2k + 1}{2}. \tag{2}$$

Proof. By the definition of D, we have $D' \subseteq D$. By Claim 3, we observe

$$\begin{aligned} |D| &\leq |B| - \frac{1}{2}(n + k - 1) \\ &= |B| - \frac{n}{2} - \frac{k-1}{2} \\ &= \frac{|B| - |A|}{2} - \frac{k-1}{2}; \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $|D'| \leq |D| \leq \frac{t-2k+1}{2}$. □

When creating the desired path partition of G, we must make sure that all vertices in G are included. In particular, since $|B| > |A|$, we must ensure that each vertex in B may be included in some path. We show this by creating a path Q that contains more vertices in B than in A. The path Q will contain all vertices in D' and roughly (but not necessarily exactly) the appropriate number of additional vertices in B. We make this discrepancy exact by considering Q', the collection of paths including Q and all vertices in D.

Before we create Q and Q', we first specify the necessary value τ, the number of additional vertices in B that we must account for before creating our desired paths. Let $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$ be the set of arbitrarily chosen endpoints for the desired paths. Let O_A be the set of vertices $x_i \in A \cap X$ such that n_i is odd. Let O'_B be the set of vertices $x_i \in B' \cap X$ such that n_i is even. Recall that $D' \subseteq D$ is the set of vertices in B with fewer than $2|D|$ neighbors in A. Let E'_D be the set of vertices $x_i \in D' \cap X$ such that n_i is even. Lastly, let P'_D be the path containing

- all vertices in $D' \setminus X$,
- two unique neighbors $b'_j, \beta'_j \in B' \setminus X$ of d'_j, and
- for $j \geq 1$, the unique neighbor $a_j \in A \setminus X$ of β'_j and b_{j+1}.

To ensure $\tau \geq 0$ (see Claim 7) or that our desired path Q, an extension of P'_D, always begins in B and ends in A, we may need to drop the first vertex b'_1 of P'_D so that P'_D begins at d'_1. As a result, the path P'_D contains either $2|D' \setminus X|$ or $2|D' \setminus X| - 1$ more vertices in B than in A and of course only exists if $D' \setminus X$ is nonempty. Thus, we have $P'_D = \left\{(b'_1), d'_1, \beta'_1, a_1, b'_2, \ldots, b'_{|D' \setminus X|}, d'_{|D' \setminus X|}, \beta'_{|D' \setminus X|}, a_{|D' \setminus X|}\right\}$, with the vertex b'_1 in parentheses to denote that b'_1 is not always included in P'_D.

Claim 6. *Let $G = A \cup B$ as defined in Lemma 8. Then*

$$\tau = \begin{cases} |B| - |A| + |O_A| - |O'_B| - |D' \cap X| - |E'_D| & \text{if } |D' \setminus X| = 0 \\ |B| - |A| + |O_A| - |O'_B| - |D' \cap X| - |E'_D| - (|P'_D \cap B| - |P'_D \cap A|) & \text{if } |D' \setminus X| \geq 1. \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

Proof. We prove this statement by showing that each term in (3) accounts for a necessary quantity. Since $|B| > |A|$ and A is an independent set, it is clear that we must account for $|B| - |A|$ additional vertices in B. For each $x_i \in O_A$ (symmetrically $x_i \in O'_B$), the path beginning at x_i that alternates between A and B uses one additional vertex in A (symmetrically B'). This accounts for the term $|O_A| - |O'_B|$. Next, we cannot assume $e(D', A) > 0$ and hence must use at least one additional vertex in B to account for each vertex in D'. By Claim 3, every vertex in D' contains sufficiently many neighbors in $B' \setminus X$ and hence, two unique neighbors in $B' \setminus X$. For all $x_i \in D' \cap X$, reserve the unique vertex $b_i \in B' \setminus X$ and its unique neighbor $a_i \in A \setminus X$. Hence, for each $x_i \in D' \cap X$, we have used one additional vertex in B, which accounts for the term $-|D' \cap X|$. Now, if $x_i \in E'_D$, then the desired path starting at x_i and alternating between A and B will contain an *extra* additional vertex in B since the first two vertices and the last vertex of the path are all in B. This accounts for the term $-|E'_D|$. Lastly, the only way we can be guaranteed to include the vertices in $D' \setminus X = \left\{d'_1, \ldots, d'_{|D' \setminus X|}\right\}$ in a path is to create P'_D containing either $2|D' \setminus X|$ or $2|D' \setminus X| - 1$ more vertices in B than in A.

Hence, we have (3). □

Claim 7. $0 \leq t - 2k \leq \tau \leq t - 2|D' \cap X| \leq t$.

Proof. First note that $-k \leq |O_A| - |O'_B| \leq k$, and that $|D'| \leq |D| \leq \frac{|B|-|A|-k+1}{2}$. Also, we have $|D' \cap X| + |O'_B| \leq k$ and $|D' \cap X| + |O_A| \leq k$. Similarly, we have $|E'_D| + |O'_B| \leq k$ and $|E'_D| + |O_A| \leq k$. It is clear that a situation where $|O_A| = k$ and $|O_B| = |D| = 0$ results in a maximum value for τ. It follows from (3) that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &\leq |B| - |A| + (k - |D' \cap X|) - 0 - |D' \cap X| - 0 \\ &\leq t - 2|D' \cap X| \\ &\leq t. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

It also is clear that a situation where $|O_A| = 0$, $E'_D = D' \cap X$, and $|D' \cap X| + |O'_B| = k$ results in a minimum value for τ. By (3), this gives

$$\tau \geq \begin{cases} |B| - |A| + 0 - (k - |D' \cap X|) - |D' \cap X| - |D' \cap X| & \text{if } |D' \setminus X| = 0 \\ |B| - |A| + 0 - (k - |D' \cap X|) - |D' \cap X| - |D' \cap X| - (|P'_D \cap B| - |P'_D \cap A|) & \text{if } |D' \setminus X| \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

which reduces to

$$\tau \geq \begin{cases} t - 2k - |D' \cap X| & \text{if } |D' \setminus X| = 0 \\ t - 2k - |D' \cap X| - (|P'_D \cap B| - |P'_D \cap A|) & \text{if } |D' \setminus X| \geq 1. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

First consider the case where $|D' \setminus X| = 0$. Recall from Lemma 5 that $|D'| \leq |D| \leq \frac{t-2k+1}{2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} t - 2k - |D' \cap X| &\geq t - 2k - |D'| \\ &\geq t - 2k - \frac{t - 2k + 1}{2} \\ &= \frac{t - 2k - 1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $t - 2k \geq 1$, then $\tau \geq 0$. However, we can still have $t - 2k = 0$ or $t - 2k = -1$. If $t - 2k = 0$, then $|A| = \frac{1}{2}(n - k)$ and $|B| = \frac{1}{2}(n + k)$, which implies $|D| = |D' \cap X| = 0$, and hence, that $\tau \geq t - 2k - |D' \cap X| = 0$. If $t - 2k = -1$, then $|A| = \frac{1}{2}(n - k + 1)$ and $|B| = \frac{1}{2}(n + k - 1)$, which implies $|D| = 0$. It follows that $n + k - 1$ is even, and that either n is odd and k is even, or that n is even and k is odd. Either way, we must have $|O_B| \leq k - 1$ or else the parities of n and k are violated. Since $|O_B| = k - |D' \cap X|$, we must have $|D' \cap X| \geq 1$, which contradicts $|D| = 0$. Hence, we have $\tau \geq 0$.

Now consider the case where $|D' \setminus X| \geq 1$. Again recalling Lemma 5, we have

$$t - 2k - |D' \cap X| - (|P'_D \cap B| - |P'_D \cap A|) \geq t - 2k - 2|D'|.$$

It follows that $t - 2k - |D' \cap X| - (|P'_D \cap B| - |P'_D \cap A|)$ if and only if $|P'_D \cap B| - |P'_D \cap A| = 2|D' \setminus X|$ and $|D' \cap X| = 0$. Letting $|P'_D \cap B| - |P'_D \cap A| = 2|D' \setminus X| - 1$ ensures $\tau \geq 0$. □

The fact that $\tau \geq 0$ ensures that, no matter what, the set B contains enough vertices to account for a (relatively) large D' and/or any number of odd-ordered paths beginning in B.

Claim 8. *If G is a graph of sufficiently large order n with $\delta(G) \geq \omega$, then for all $\gamma > 0$, the graph G either contains*

1. *$\gamma\omega$ independent edges, or*
2. *$\lceil \omega \rceil$ vertices of degree at least $\frac{n}{2\gamma+1}$.*

Proof. Consider a graph G of sufficiently large order n with $\delta(G) \geq \omega$. If there exist $\gamma\omega$ independent edges in G, then we are done, so suppose not. Consider the largest collection of $c < \gamma\omega$ independent edges, i.e., the largest matching in G. Call this set of edges M_c. Then $G = M_c \cup A$, where A must induce an independent set of $n-2c$ vertices. Since $\delta(G) \geq \omega$, each vertex in A must be adjacent to ω vertices in M_c. This means there are at least $\omega(n-2c)$ edges from A to M_c. Therefore, there are at least ω vertices in M_c with degree at least $\frac{\omega n - 2c\omega}{2c} = \left(\frac{\omega}{c}\right)\frac{n}{2} - \omega > \frac{n}{2\gamma+1}$. □

Recall from before that there may exist a small clique $C \subset B$ of vertices in B with fewer than $\frac{t-1}{2}$ neighbors in B. If $C \neq \emptyset$, then we can only be guaranteed that $\delta(G[B]) \geq |C| - 1$. For each vertex $c \in C$, attach $\frac{t-1}{2} - d(c)$ edges to c to create the graph $G'[B]$. Then $\delta(G'[B]) \geq \frac{t-1}{2}$. Let $\gamma = 10$; by Claim 8, the graph $G'[B]$ contains either $10(t-1)$ independent edges or $\left\lceil \frac{t-1}{2} \right\rceil$ vertices of degree at least $\frac{n}{21}$.

Case 1. *$G[B]$ contains at least $5(t-1)$ independent edges.*

This immediately implies $G[B]$ contains $10(t-1-|C|) \geq 5(t-1)$ independent edges.

Let $M = \{e_1, \ldots, e_{|M|}\}$ be a maximum set of independent edges in $G[B]$. Disregard all edges in M whose endpoints are both in X; there are at most $\frac{k}{2} < \frac{t-1}{2}$ such edges.

If $t = 1$, then consider an edge $Q = b\beta \in G[B]$, and skip to the construction of R. Now suppose $t > 1$. As noted in the definition of D', every vertex $d'_j \in D'$ contains sufficiently many neighbors in B'. As such, for some unique neighbor β'_j of each d'_j, choose a new matching M' to include every edge $d'_j\beta'_j$ and all other edges in M, removing as many as $2|D'|$ edges from M if necessary. Hence, we have $e(M') \geq e(M) - \frac{k}{2} - 2|D'| + |D'| > 2(t-1)$ since $t > 1$. Construct the path P'_D. Then $P'_D \supset D' \setminus X$ and $|P'_D \cap B| - |P'_D \cap A| = 2|D' \setminus X| - 1$ or $|P'_D \cap B| - |P'_D \cap A| = 2|D' \setminus X|$.

Let M'_X be the set of edges in M' that contain a single vertex in X as an endpoint. For all edges $x_i\beta_i \in M'_X$, let $\alpha_i \in A$ be a unique neighbor of β_i. In a similar fashion to the way we constructed P'_D, we may extend P'_D into a path Q by adjoining as many edges in $M' \setminus M'_X$ as necessary so that we have

$$|Q \cap B| - |Q \cap A| = \tau - |X \cap D'|.$$

The inequalities $|M'| > 2(t-1) > t \geq \tau$ ensure that M' contains enough edges to extend P'_D into Q (we are still assuming $t > 1$). Construct Q so that the last vertex in Q is in A, so that Q begins in B and ends in A.

Note that all vertices in $D \setminus D'$ have enough neighbors in A so that each vertex in $D \setminus D'$ has two unique neighbors in $A \setminus X$. For all $x_i \in (D \setminus D') \cap X$, simply consider the unique neighbor α_i. We may string all vertices in $D \setminus (D' \cup X)$ into a path R so that R contains as many vertices in $D \setminus (D' \cup X)$ as in A. We do this as follows. For all $d_j \in D \setminus (D' \cup X)$, choose the unique neighbors $a_j, \alpha_j \in A \setminus X$. For each $j \geq 1$, the vertices α_j and a_{j+1} share a common neighbor $b'_j \in B'$. This gives a path $R = \left\{d_1, a_1, b'_1, \alpha_1, d_2, a_2, b'_2, \alpha_2, \ldots, d_{|D\setminus(D'\cup X)|}, a_{|D\setminus(D'\cup X)|}, b'_{|D\setminus(D'\cup X)|}, \alpha_{|D\setminus(D'\cup X)|}\right\}$.

Hence, the collection of paths

$$Q' = Q \cup R \cup \left(\bigcup_{x_i \in D' \cap X} \{x_i, \beta_i, \alpha_i\} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{x_j \in (D \setminus D') \cap X} \{x_j, \alpha_j\} \right)$$

satisfies

$$|Q' \cap B| - |Q' \cap A| = \tau \tag{6}$$

and contains all vertices in D.

Case 2. *$G[B]$ contains at least $\left\lceil \frac{t-1}{2} \right\rceil$ vertices of degree at least $\frac{n}{21}$.*

This immediately implies $G[B]$ contains $\left\lceil \frac{t-1}{2} \right\rceil$ stars with greater than $\frac{n}{21} - |C| > \frac{n}{22}$ vertices in $G[B] \setminus C$.

Call this collection of stars $\mathcal{S}$, and for each star in $\mathcal{S}$, consider the smaller star $S_j = \{b'_j, c_j, \beta'_j\}$ with center c_j and unique neighbors $b'_j, \beta'_j \in B' \setminus X$, which we may choose due to the large neighborhoods of all c_j. Then there exists a unique a_j in the neighborhoods of both b_j and β_j. Construct P'_D; then $P'_D \supseteq D' \setminus X$, and $|P'_D \cap B| - |P'_D \cap A| = 2|D' \setminus X| - 1$. Extend P'_D to Q by adjoining as many stars S_j as necessary (and of course the necessary common neighbors in A).

Claim 9. *There exist enough stars S_j so that we have*

$$|Q \cap B| - |Q \cap A| = \tau - |D' \cap X| \tag{7}$$

with Q beginning in B and ending in A.

Proof. By assumption, we have at least $\lceil \frac{t-1}{2} \rceil - |D' \cap X|$ disjoint stars S_j in $B \setminus X$. Since each star and an adjacent vertex in A contribute 3 vertices in B and 1 vertex in A, we have at least $2\left(\lceil \frac{t-1}{2} \rceil - |D' \cap X|\right) \geq t - 1 - 2|D' \cap X|$ additional vertices in B as a result of adjoining the stars S_j with a neighbor in A.

Recall from Claim 7 that $0 \leq \tau \leq t - 3|D' \cap X| \leq t$. It follows that we need

$$\begin{aligned} |Q \cap B| - |B \cap A| &= \tau - |D' \cap X| \\ &\leq \big(|B| - |A| + (k - |D' \cap X|) - 0 - |D' \cap X| - 0\big) - |D' \cap X| \\ &\leq t - 3|D' \cap X|. \end{aligned}$$

Since we may construct Q to give us as many as $t - 1 - 2|D' \cap X|$ additional vertices in B, we are done unless $|D' \cap X| = 0$ and t is odd. (If t is even, then $\lceil \frac{t-1}{2} \rceil = \frac{t}{2}$, and we have $t - 2|D' \cap X| \geq t - 3|D' \cap X| \geq \tau - |D' \cap X|$ additional vertices in B.) If $|D' \cap X| = 0$ and t is odd, then either n is odd and k is even, or n is even and k is odd. Either way, we have $|O_A| \leq k - 1$, which implies $\tau - |D' \cap X| \leq |B| - |A| + k - 1 - 2|D' \cap X| < t - 3|D' \cap X|$. Therefore, we can always construct Q to satisfy (7). Furthermore, we can always have Q end in A by either starting P'_D at d'_1 or at b'_1. This avoids a possible parity issue, as each star contributes 2 additional vertices in B. □

For all $x_i \in D' \cap X$, consider the unique neighbor β_i, which in turn has the unique neighbor α_i. For all vertices $d_j \in D \setminus (D' \cup X)$, let α_j and a_j be the unique neighbors in $A \setminus X$.

As in Case 1, create the path R containing all vertices in $D \setminus (D' \cup X)$; naturally, this implies R contains as many vertices in A as in B. Then the collection

$$Q' = Q \cup R \cup \left(\bigcup_{x_i \in D'} \{x_i, \beta_i, \alpha_i\} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{x_j \in (D \setminus D') \cap X} \{x_j, \alpha_j\} \right)$$

satisfies

$$|Q' \cap B| - |Q' \cap A| = \tau$$

and contains all vertices in D.

In both Cases 1 and 2, we have created Q', a collection of paths that contains the necessary number of extra vertices in B than in A and all vertices in D'.

We use induction to construct all desired paths $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ with desired lengths $n_1, \ldots, n_k$. Without loss of generality, assume $n_1 \leq \cdots \leq n_k$.

For the base case, let $i = 1$. Choose two sets $U_1 \subset A$ and $V_1 \subset B$, each of order $\max\left\{\frac{n}{25k}, \lceil \frac{n_1}{2} \rceil\right\}$, and so that U_1 or V_1 contains x_1 and no other vertices in $Q' \cup X$. By Lemma 10, the balanced bipartite graph $U_1 \cup V_1$ is bipanconnected, and so there exists a path P_1 beginning at x_1 of length n_1 within $U_1 \cup V_1$.

Let $X^i = X \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_i\} = \{x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_k\}$, let $m_i = \left\lceil \max\left\{n_i, \frac{n}{25k}\right\}\right\rceil$, and let $\mathscr{P}^i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{i} P_j$.

Now consider the inductive step for $1 < i < k$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} n - |\mathscr{P}^{i-1} \cup Q \cup X^i| &\geq \frac{(k - i + 1)n}{k} - (k - i + 1) - \frac{n}{25k} \\ &> \frac{n}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there are always enough vertices to create a balanced bipartite graph $U_i \cup V_i \subset (A \cup B) \setminus (\mathscr{P}^{i-1} \cup Q \cup X^i)$ that includes x_i with m_i vertices. By Lemma 10, we may construct a path P_i in $U_i \cup V_i$ beginning at x_i with n_i vertices.

Finally, for $i = k$, we wish for P_k to contain all vertices in $V(G) \setminus \mathscr{P}^{k-1}$. Note that this final path must include Q and R. We have $X^k = \emptyset$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} n - |\mathscr{P}^{k-1} \cup Q \cup R \cup X^k| &> n - \left(\frac{n}{25k} + \frac{k-1}{k}\right) n \\ &> \frac{24n}{25k}. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of Q and R, the graph $G \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i$ is within a single vertex of being a balanced bipartite graph, and $|Q| + |R| \leq 4\tau + 2|D| \ll \frac{n}{25k}$. Also note that Q and R both start in A and end in B; hence, we may adjoin Q and R using Lemma 10 and some vertices $\{a_q, b_r\}$. Similarly, the amalgamation $Q \cup \{a_q, b_r\} \cup R$ still begins in B and ends in A, allowing us to perform a similar process in adjoining $\{x_k, (\beta_k), \alpha_k\}$ (if β_k exists) to $Q \cup \{a_q, b_r\} \cup R$. Lastly, use Lemma 10 to adjoin $Q \cup \{a_q, b_r\} \cup R$ to a remaining vertex in $G - \mathscr{P}^{k-1}$, possibly adding on one last vertex if $V(G - \mathscr{P}^{k-1})$ is odd. This results in a path P_k that begins at x_k and necessarily contains n_k vertices.

We have therefore created disjoint paths beginning at x_i on n_i vertices for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ that together cover $V(G)$. □

7 Proof of Lemma 7

We begin with a lemma ensuring that low connectivity in the reduced graph R results in at most two components in the original graph G.

Lemma 11. *Let $\epsilon, d > 0$ be small reals and k be a positive integer. If G is a graph with $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + k - 1$ and reduced graph R with connectivity at most $\left(\frac{1}{10} - \frac{3}{5}(d + 2\epsilon)\right)|R|$, then R consists of only two components (and a cutset if $\kappa(R) > 0$).*

Proof. Applying Lemma 1 to G, let $G'' = G'[V(G) \setminus V_0]$. Since $d_{G''}(v) > d_G(v) - (d + 2\epsilon)n$, it immediately follows that $\sigma_2(R) > (1 - 2(d + 2\epsilon))|R|$. Let D be a cutset of R (if one exists). Suppose R (or $R \setminus D$) contains at least 3 components, three of which being A, B, and C. Let $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and $c \in C$. Then $d(a) + d(b) > (1 - 2(d + 2\epsilon))|R|$, which implies $|A| + |B| > (1 - 2(\delta + 2\epsilon))|R| - 2|D|$. Similarly, the same is true for $|B| + |C|$ and $|A| + |C|$. So $2(|A| + |B| + |C|) > 3(1 - 2(d + 2\epsilon))|R| - 6|D|$, or $|D| > \left(\frac{1}{10} - \frac{3}{5}(d + 2\epsilon)\right)|R|$, a contradiction. □

Note that the connectivity of R may be considerably larger than $\epsilon|R|$. Also note that A, B, C, and D were vertex sets in R. In the following remark, the same symbols are used to denote vertex sets in G.

Remark 1. Given small real numbers $\epsilon, d > 0$ and a positive integer k, let G be a graph of order $n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i \geq n(\epsilon, d, k)$ with $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + k - 1$ and $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n_k}{8}$. If the reduced graph of G has connectivity at most $\epsilon|R|$, then let $D \subset V(G)$ be the cluster corresponding to a cut vertex of R. (If R contains no cut vertices, then $D = \emptyset$.) Let V_0 be the garbage cluster of G resulting from Lemma 1, and let C be a minimum cutset of G. Then $C \subseteq D \cup V_0$. By Lemma 1, each vertex of R corresponds to a cluster in G of order $L = \xi n$. Hence, we have $k + 1 \leq |C| \leq |D| + |V_0| \leq \epsilon\xi|R|n + \epsilon n$. By Lemma 11, we may define A and B to be the components of $G \setminus C$ and write $G = A \cup C \cup B$. It immediately follows from $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + k - 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(G[A]) &> |A| - |C| > |A| - (\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n, \\ \delta(G[B]) &> |B| - |C| > |B| - (\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

From the condition $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n_k}{8} \geq \frac{n}{2k}$, we know $|A|, |B| \geq \frac{n_k}{8} - |C| \geq \left(\frac{1}{8k} - \epsilon\xi|R| - \epsilon\right)n > \frac{n}{8(k+1)}$.

Note that $\epsilon\xi|R| \ll 1$.

While panconnected sets give paths of arbitrary length, only the endpoints are specified. Hence, to create disjoint paths of arbitrary length, we must create sets using vertices that are not part of an already existing desired path. Fortunately, even small subsets of A and B induce panconnected graphs.

Lemma 12. *Let ϵ, d, k, and $G = A \cup C \cup B$ be defined as in Remark 1. Then the induced graph on any subgraph of A or B of order at least $2(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n$ is panconnected.*

Proof. We see from (8) that $\delta(G[A]) > |A| - |C| > |A| - (\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n$. Then for all $U \subset A$ of order at least $2(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(G[U]) &\geq |S| - (\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n + 1 \\ &\geq \frac{|S| + 2}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 5, the graph $G[U]$ is panconnected. A symmetric argument shows that if $U \subset B$ has order at least $(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n$, then $G[U]$ is panconnected. □

With this information, we prove the following lemma which is a bit stronger than Lemma 7.

Lemma 13. *Given small real numbers $\epsilon, d > 0$ and a positive integer k, let G be a graph of order $n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i \geq n(\epsilon, d, k)$ with $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + k - 1$ and $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n_k}{8}$. If $\kappa(R) \leq \epsilon|R|$, then the conclusion of Conjecture 1 holds.*

Proof. Suppose $\kappa(R) \leq \epsilon|R|$, and let $G = A \cup C \cup B$ as in Remark 1. As noted before (8), we know $k+1 \leq |C| \leq (\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n$. As noted after (8), we know $|A|, |B| > \frac{n}{8(k+1)}$. For each $c \in C$, we may reserve 2 unique neighbors $a_c \in A$ and $b_c \in B$. Call $A_C = \{a_c \in A \setminus X \mid c \in C\}$ (symmetrically $B_C = \{b_c \in B \setminus X \mid c \in C\}$) the set of *proxy* vertices in A (symmetrically B). Then we have

$$|C| = |A_C| = |B_C|.$$

Given a vertex x, let an *x-path* be a path containing x as an endpoint. Namely, each desired path P_i in G is an x_i-path. Recall that $X = \{x_i \mid n_i \leq n_{i+1}\}$. For some $i \leq k$, let

$$A^* = A \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} P_j,$$

and define B^* and C^* similarly. In particular, note that $A^* = A$ for $i = 1$. Let

$$A^v = (A^* \setminus (A_C \cup X)) \cup v,$$
$$B^v = (B^* \setminus (B_C \cup X)) \cup v;$$

i.e., if $v = x_i$ or $v = a_c$, then $A^v \cap (X \cup A_C) = v$, and symmetrically for B^v and B_C. For the sake of notation, if $v = x_i$ for some i, then we write A^x and B^x. This will never cause an issue, as we never discuss A^* or x_i for different values of i at the same time.

We induct on i to prove our result. Consider the base case $i = 1$. If $x_1 \in A$ and $n_1 \leq |A^x| - 4(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n$, then use Lemma 12 to construct an x_1-path $P_1 \subset A^x$ containing n_1 vertices. If $x_1 \in A$ and $n_1 > |A^x| - 4(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n$, then let $c \in C$ with proxy vertices $a \in A_C$ and $b \in B_C$. Use Lemma 12 to create an x_1, a-path P_A consisting of all but $4(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n$ vertices of $x_1 \cup A^a$. Also create a b-path $P_B \subseteq B^b$ with $n_1 - |P_A| - 1$ vertices. Then $P_1 = P_A \cup c \cup P_B$ is an x_1-path with n_1 vertices. If $x_1 \in B$, then a symmetric argument works. Lastly, if $x_1 \in C$, then suppose without loss of generality that $|A \setminus (A_C \cup X)| \geq |B \setminus (B_C \cup X)|$. Since $|C| + |A_C \cup X| \leq 2(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n + k < 4(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n$ and $n_1 \leq \frac{n}{k}$, this implies $n_1 < |A^x \cup a| - 4(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n$. Let $a \in A_C$ be the proxy vertex of x_1, and use Lemma 12 to create an a-path $P_A \subset A^a$ with $n_1 - 1$ vertices. Then $P_1 = x_1 \cup P_A$ is an x_1-path with n_1 vertices.

Now suppose $1 < i < k$ and that the disjoint x_j-paths $P_1, \ldots, P_{i-1}$ have been constructed in G. If $x_i \in A^* \cup C^*$ and $n_i \leq |A^x| - 4(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n$, then use Lemma 12 to construct an x_i-path $P_i \subset A^x$ containing n_i vertices. If $x_i \in A^* \cup C^*$ and $n_i > |A^x| - 4(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |B^b| &= n - |A^*| - |C^*| - |\{x_i, \ldots, x_k\}| - \left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} P_j\right| \\ &\geq n - n_i - 4(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n - (\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n - (k - i + 1) - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} n_j \\ &\geq \sum_{j=i+1}^{k} n_j - 6(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Hence, if $i < k - 1$, then $|B^b| > n_{i+1} \geq n_i$. If $i = k - 1$, then by the Pigeonhole Principle, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |B^b| &> n_k - 6(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n \\ &> \frac{n}{k} - 6(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Furthermore, since $|C^* \setminus x_i| \geq k + 1 - (i - 1) - 1 \geq 2$, we know there exists $c \in C^*$ with proxy vertices $a \in A_C$ and $b \in B_C$. If $|A^x| > 4(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n$, then use Lemma 12 to create an x_i, a-path P_A consisting of all but $4(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n$ vertices of $a \cup A^x$. If $|A^x| \leq 4(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n$ and $a \in A^*$, then use Lemma 12 to create an x_i, a-path P_A consisting of three vertices in $a \cup A^x$. If $|A^x| \leq 4(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n$ and $a \in C^*$, then let $P_A = \emptyset$. Regardless of the initial size of A^x, we now have

$$3(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n < |A^x \setminus P_A| \leq 4(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n. \tag{11}$$

From (9) and (10), we may similarly use Lemma 12 to create a b-path $P_B \subset B^b$ with $n_i - |P_A| - 1$ vertices. Then $P_i = P_A \cup c \cup P_B$ is an x_i-path with n_i vertices. If $x_i \in B^*$, then a symmetric argument works.

Finally, suppose $i = k$ and that the disjoint x_j-paths $P_1, \ldots, P_{k-1}$ have been constructed in G. From (10) and (11), we know

$$\begin{aligned} |A^* \setminus (X \cup A_C)| &> 3(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n, \\ |B^* \setminus (X \cup B_C)| &> 3(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

From the Pigeonhole Principle, we also know

$$n_k \geq \frac{n}{k} \gg |A_C| + |C^*| + |B_C|.$$

Without loss of generality, assume $x_k \in A^* \cup C^*$. Note that $|C^* \setminus x_k| \geq 1$, and hence, that we must have $n_k > |A^x|$. Define A_C^* and B_C^* similarly to the way A^* and B^* are defined. Use Lemma 12 repeatedly $|C^*| \leq (\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n$ times within A^* and within B^* each to create a path P_C that strings together all vertices in C^* by using all proxy vertices in A_C^* and B_C^*. Since A_C^*, B_C^*, and C^* each have at most $(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n$ vertices, and since $|C^* \setminus x_k| \geq 1$, we know $7 \leq |P_C| < 5(\epsilon\xi|R| + \epsilon)n$. Given the high value of $\delta(G)$, we may ensure that P_C starts with a proxy vertex in A^* and ends with a proxy vertex in B^* by including an additional vertex in A^* or B^* adjacent to some vertex in C^*. Let the endpoints of P_C be $a \in A^*$ and $b \in B^*$. Noting (12), we may use Lemma 12 in A^* and B^* to create an x_k, a-path P_A that contains all vertices in $A^* \setminus P_C$. Similarly, use (12) and Lemma 12 to create a b-path P_B that contains all vertices in $B^* \setminus P_C$. Then $P_k = P_A \cup P_C \cup P_B$ is an x_k-path that contains all remaining n_k vertices in G.

We have created k paths $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ in G, with each path P_i starting at x_i and having n_i vertices. □

References

[1] Gary Chartrand, Linda Lesniak, and Ping Zhang. *Graphs & digraphs*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, fifth edition, 2011.

[2] V. Coll, A. Halperin, and C. Magnant. Graph linkage with prescribed lengths. *Manuscript*, 2013.

[3] R. Diestel. *Graph theory*, volume 173 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Heidelberg, fourth edition, 2010.

[4] H. Enomoto and K. Ota. Partitions of a graph into paths with prescribed endvertices and lengths. *J. Graph Theory*, 34(2):163–169, 2000.

[5] J. Komlós, G. N. Sárközy, and E. Szemerédi. Blow-up lemma. *Combinatorica*, 17(1):109–123, 1997.

[6] D. Kühn, D. Osthus, and A. Treglown. An Ore-type theorem for perfect packings in graphs. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 23(3):1335–1355, 2009.

[7] C. Magnant and D. M. Martin. An asymptotic version of a conjecture by Enomoto and Ota. *J. Graph Theory*, 64(1):37–51, 2010.

[8] C. Magnant and P. Salehi Nowbandegani. Note on lengths of cycles containing a specified edge. *Manuscript*, 2014.

[9] Karl Menger. Zur allgemeinen kurventheorie. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 10(1):96–115, 1927.

[10] O. Ore. Note on Hamilton circuits. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 67:55, 1960.

[11] E. Szemerédi. Regular partitions of graphs. In *Problèmes combinatoires et théorie des graphes (Colloq. Internat. CNRS, Univ. Orsay, Orsay, 1976)*, volume 260 of *Colloq. Internat. CNRS*, pages 399–401. CNRS, Paris, 1978.